## APPENDIX 3

## ANSWERS TO EXERCISES AND PROBLEMS

Note: We have provided answers to SPSS problems using the format and terminology employed by SPSS. We have noted differences in format and presentation in comparison to the conventions in your textbook.

On the fill-in-the-blank items, it is possible that more than one answer may be correct. We have listed the answer we intended, but if your answer is synonymous with ours, then it is also correct. Slight differences in answers to the problems are probably the result of rounding differences and should be ignored.

## CHAPTER 1

Fill-in-the-blanks
(1) statistics
(8) consumer
(14) anxiety
(2) statistics
(3) statistics
(4) consumer
(5) behavior
(6) variable
(7) vocabulary
(9) literature
(15) uncertainty
(10) tools
(11) language
(12) practice
(13) vocabulary
(16) practice
(17) pencils
(18) calculator
(19) class attendance

## Problems

2. a. 344
b. 46.4
c. 2.31
d. 14
e. 142
f. 120.14

## CHAPTER 2

Fill-in-the-blanks
(1) variable
(2) independent
(3) dependent
(4) independent
(5) dependent
(6) population
(7) sample
(8) parameter
(9) statistic
(10) biased
(11) random
(12) replacement
(13) stratified
(14) scales
(15) measurement
(16) frequency
(17) nominal
(18) ordinal (19) interval
(20) ratio
(21) ratio
(22) interval
(23) Descriptive
(24) inferential
(25) descriptive

## Problems

1. a. independent, kind of drug; dependent, score on IQ test
b. independent, presence (or absence) of others; dependent, performance
c. independent, odd versus even answer; dependent, seconds to solution
d. independent, illumination level; dependent, time to identify the stimulus
2. a. ratio
b. nominal
c. ordinal
d. nominal
e. ratio
f. ordinal
g. ordinal
h. interval
3. a. parameter, characteristic of the population of all left-handed boys at Fairlawn High School
b. statistic, 15 randomly selected students constitutes a sample
c. parameter, characteristic of the population of all inmates
d. statistic, characteristic of the sample consisting of every 100th name
4. a. descriptive
b. inferential
c. inferential
d. descriptive
e. inferential
f. descriptive

## CHAPTER 3

Fill-in-the-blanks
(1) highest
(8) omitted
(2) lowest
(9) continuous
(3) scores
(10) discrete
(4) $X$
(5) frequency distribution
(11) apparent
(6) frequency
(12) half
(13) half
(7) $f$
(14) real
(15) percentage
(16) $N$
(17) sum
(18) size
(19) accumulate
(20) lower or previous
(21) $\operatorname{Cum} f$

Problems
1.

| $X$ | $f$ | $\operatorname{Cum} f$ | Cum \%age |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 5 | 15 | 100.00 |
| 14 | 1 | 10 | 66.67 |
| 13 | 4 | 9 | 60.00 |
| 12 | 2 | 5 | 33.33 |
| 10 | 1 | 3 | 20.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 13.33 |
| 6 | $\underline{1}$ | 1 | 6.67 |
| $\quad N=15$ |  |  |  |

2. 

| $X$ | $f$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 4 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 0 | $\underline{3}$ |
|  | $N=15$ |

The sample sizes are equivalent.
3. a.

| $X$ | $f$ | $X$ | $f$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | 1 | 22 | 1 |
| 33 | 1 | 21 | 4 |
| 31 | 1 | 20 | 3 |
| 30 | 1 | 19 | 3 |
| 29 | 1 | 18 | 3 |
| 28 | 1 | 17 | 5 |
| 27 | 1 | 16 | 4 |
| 26 | 2 | 15 | 5 |
| 25 | 6 | 14 | 1 |
| 24 | 2 | 12 | 1 |
| 23 | 3 |  | $N=50$ |

b.

| $X$ | Real Limits | $f$ | $\%$ oage $f$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 37 | $36.5-37.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 33 | $32.5-33.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 31 | $30.5-31.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 30 | $29.5-30.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 29 | $28.5-29.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 28 | $27.5-28.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 27 | $26.5-27.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 26 | $25.5-26.5$ | 2 | 4 |
| 25 | $24.5-25.5$ | 6 | 12 |
| 24 | $23.5-24.5$ | 2 | 4 |
| 23 | $22.5-23.5$ | 3 | 6 |
| 22 | $21.5-22.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 21 | $20.5-21.5$ | 4 | 8 |
| 20 | $19.5-20.5$ | 3 | 6 |
| 19 | $18.5-19.5$ | 3 | 6 |
| 18 | $17.5-18.5$ | 3 | 6 |
| 17 | $16.5-17.5$ | 5 | 10 |
| 16 | $15.5-16.5$ | 4 | 8 |
| 15 | $14.5-15.5$ | 5 | 10 |
| 14 | $13.5-14.5$ | 1 | 2 |
| 12 | $11.5-12.5$ | $\underline{1}$ | 2 |
|  |  | $N 0$ |  |

4. 

Apparent Limit Real Limits

| a. 25 | $24.5-25.5$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| b. 11.7 | $11.65-11.75$ |
| c. 12.55 | $12.545-12.555$ |
| d. 7.853 | $7.8525-7.8535$ |

5. 

| $X$ | $f$ | Cum $f$ | \%age $f$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 45 | 1 | 20 | 5 |
| 42 | 1 | 19 | 5 |
| 39 | 1 | 18 | 5 |
| 37 | 1 | 17 | 5 |
| 36 | 1 | 16 | 5 |
| 35 | 2 | 15 | 10 |
| 34 | 2 | 13 | 10 |
| 33 | 1 | 11 | 5 |
| 32 | 3 | 10 | 15 |
| 31 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| 30 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| 28 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 26 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| 25 | $\underline{1}$ | 1 | 5 |
|  | $N=20$ |  |  |

6. 

|  | Distribution A |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $X$ | $f$ | \%age $f$ |
| 79 | 1 | 1.18 |
| 77 | 1 | 1.18 |
| 76 | 1 | 1.18 |
| 74 | 2 | 2.35 |
| 65 | 2 | 2.35 |
| 64 | 3 | 3.53 |
| 62 | 2 | 2.35 |
| 60 | 2 | 2.35 |
| 57 | 5 | 5.88 |
| 56 | 4 | 4.71 |
| 54 | 6 | 7.06 |
| 53 | 7 | 8.24 |
| 52 | 6 | 7.06 |
| 51 | 7 | 8.24 |
| 50 | 10 | 11.76 |
| 49 | 7 | 8.24 |
| 48 | 6 | 7.06 |
| 47 | 4 | 4.71 |
| 45 | 2 | 2.35 |
| 44 | 1 | 1.18 |
| 42 | 3 | 3.53 |
| 40 | 2 | 2.35 |
| 39 | 1 | 1.18 |
| $N=85$ |  |  |

Distribution B

| $X$ | $f$ | $\%$ age $f$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 79 | 1 | 3.13 |
| 78 | 2 | 6.25 |
| 77 | 2 | 6.25 |
| 76 | 2 | 6.25 |
| 75 | 3 | 9.38 |
| 74 | 2 | 6.25 |
| 73 | 2 | 6.25 |
| 71 | 1 | 3.13 |
| 70 | 2 | 6.25 |
| 69 | 3 | 9.38 |
| 68 | 4 | 12.50 |
| 65 | 1 | 3.13 |
| 60 | 1 | 3.13 |
| 58 | 2 | 6.25 |
| 55 | 1 | 3.13 |
| 50 | 1 | 3.13 |
| 44 | 1 | 3.13 |
| 39 | 1 | 3.13 |

7. 

| $X$ | Real Limits | $f$ | Cum $f$ | Cum \%age |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | $48.5-49.5$ | 1 | 169 | 100.00 |
| 48 | $47.5-48.5$ | 6 | 168 | 99.41 |
| 47 | $46.5-47.5$ | 6 | 162 | 95.86 |
| 46 | $45.5-46.5$ | 11 | 156 | 92.31 |
| 45 | $44.5-45.5$ | 13 | 145 | 85.80 |
| 44 | $43.5-44.5$ | 16 | 132 | 78.11 |
| 43 | $42.5-43.5$ | 8 | 116 | 68.64 |
| 42 | $41.5-42.5$ | 15 | 108 | 63.91 |
| 41 | $40.5-41.5$ | 16 | 93 | 55.03 |
| 40 | $39.5-40.5$ | 13 | 77 | 45.56 |
| 39 | $38.5-39.5$ | 9 | 64 | 37.87 |
| 38 | $37.5-38.5$ | 5 | 55 | 32.54 |
| 37 | $36.5-37.5$ | 3 | 50 | 29.59 |
| 36 | $35.5-36.5$ | 7 | 47 | 27.81 |
| 35 | $34.5-35.5$ | 8 | 40 | 23.67 |
| 34 | $33.5-34.5$ | 3 | 32 | 18.93 |


| $X$ | Real Limits | $f$ | Cum $f$ | Cum \%age |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | $32.5-33.5$ | 1 | 29 | 17.16 |
| 32 | $31.5-32.5$ | 7 | 28 | 16.57 |
| 31 | $30.5-31.5$ | 3 | 21 | 12.43 |
| 30 | $29.5-30.5$ | 4 | 18 | 10.65 |
| 29 | $28.5-29.5$ | 3 | 14 | 8.28 |
| 28 | $27.5-28.5$ | 4 | 11 | 6.51 |
| 27 | $26.5-27.5$ | 1 | 7 | 4.14 |
| 26 | $25.5-26.5$ | 3 | 6 | 3.55 |
| 25 | $24.5-25.5$ | 2 | 3 | 1.78 |
| 23 | $22.5-23.5$ | $\frac{1}{169}$ | 1 | 0.59 |

## EXERCISE USING SPSS

Follow these steps:

1. Enter data and name variable test.
2. Analyze>Descriptive Statistics $>$ Frequencies
3. Highlight and move test into the Variable(s) box.
4. Format $>$ Descending values $>$ Continue
5. $O K$

FREQUENCIES
VARIABLES=test
/FORMAT=DVALUE
/ORDER ANALYSIS.

## Frequencies

Statistics
TEST


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 35.00 | 8 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
|  | 34.00 | 3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 27.5 |
|  | 33.00 | 1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 30.0 |
|  | 32.00 | 7 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 47.5 |
|  | 31.00 | 3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 55.0 |
|  | 30.00 | 4 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 65.0 |
|  | 29.00 | 3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 72.5 |
|  | 28.00 | 4 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 82.5 |
|  | 27.00 | 1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 85.0 |
|  | 26.00 | 3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 92.5 |
|  | 25.00 | 2 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 97.5 |
|  | 23.00 | 1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 40 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## SELF-TEST

1. $c, j, h, a, i, b, e$
2. 

| $X$ | Real Limits | $f$ | Cum $f$ | Cum \%age |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | $92.5-93.5$ | 1 | 42 | 100.00 |
| 81 | $80.5-81.5$ | 1 | 41 | 97.62 |
| 75 | $74.5-75.5$ | 1 | 40 | 95.24 |
| 71 | $70.5-71.5$ | 1 | 39 | 92.86 |
| 65 | $64.5-65.5$ | 2 | 38 | 90.48 |
| 61 | $60.5-61.5$ | 1 | 36 | 85.71 |
| 52 | $51.5-52.5$ | 1 | 35 | 83.33 |
| 37 | $36.5-37.5$ | 1 | 34 | 80.95 |
| 32 | $31.5-32.5$ | 1 | 33 | 78.57 |
| 22 | $21.5-22.5$ | 1 | 32 | 76.19 |
| 21 | $20.5-21.5$ | 1 | 31 | 73.81 |
| 17 | $16.5-17.5$ | 1 | 30 | 71.43 |
| 15 | $14.5-15.5$ | 2 | 29 | 69.05 |
| 13 | $12.5-13.5$ | 1 | 27 | 64.29 |
| 12 | $11.5-12.5$ | 3 | 26 | 61.90 |
| 10 | $9.5-10.5$ | 5 | 23 | 54.76 |
| 9 | $8.5-9.5$ | 2 | 18 | 42.86 |
| 8 | $7.5-8.5$ | 4 | 16 | 38.10 |
| 7 | $6.5-7.5$ | 1 | 12 | 28.57 |
| 6 | $5.5-6.5$ | 2 | 11 | 26.19 |
| 5 | $4.5-5.5$ | 3 | 9 | 21.43 |
| 3 | $2.5-3.5$ | 3 | 6 | 14.29 |
| 2 | $1.5-2.5$ | 1 | 3 | 7.14 |
| 0 | $-0.5-0.5$ | 2 | 2 | 4.76 |
|  |  | $N=42$ |  |  |

Cum \%age $=\frac{\operatorname{Cum} f}{N}(100)$
Cum \%age of $\operatorname{Cum} f$ of $2=\frac{2}{42}(100)=\frac{200}{42}=4.76$

## CHAPTER 4

Fill-in-the-blanks
(1) 1,000
(7) three-fourths
(2) graphs
(8) three-quarters
(3) cumulative
(9) 0
(10) deviations
(4) histogram
(11) scores
(5) line
(12) frequencies
(13) Score
(14) Frequency
(15) caption
(16) percentages
(17) relative
(18) normal
(19) skewed
(20) positively skewed
(21) negatively skewed
(22) scores
(23) vertical
(24) horizontal
(25) horizontal
(26) bar
(27) frequency
(28) halfway
(29) nominal
(30) arbitrary
(31) stem
(32) leaf
(33) stem
(34) leaf
(35) 13
(36) 3
(37) vertical
(38) leaves
(39) scores
(40) histogram
(41) independent
(42) continuous

Problems

1. a.


Frequency polygon showing test scores from introductory class.
b.


Frequency histogram of introductory class test scores.
c.


Cumulative frequency polygon of introductory class scores.
d.


Cumulative percentage polygon of introductory class test scores.
Approximately $21 \%$ of students made scores of 35 or less.


Line graph showing number of cigarettes smoked per day over a 2-week period.
3.


Bar graph showing percentage of people wearing seatbelts stopped for traffic violations on weekdays and weekends.
4.


Bar graph showing number of requests forl information at the registration office
5.


## Line graph showing mean QPA by semester

 after joining a campus organization6. 



Line graphs showing depression by month of treatment. C = counseling group; $\mathrm{M}=$ medication group; $\mathrm{CM}=$ counseling and medication group.
7. a.


Frequency polygons showing the shapes of Distributions A (positively skewed) and B (negatively skewed).


Cumulative frequency polygons for Distributions A and B.
8.

| Stems | Leaves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |  |  |
| 19 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 20 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## EXERCISES USING SPSS

1. RENAME VARIABLES (fcig=freqcig).

GRAPH
/LINE (SIMPLE) =VALUE ( freqcig ) BY day .

## Graph


2.

```
EXAMINE
        VARIABLES=speeds
        /PLOT BOXPLOT STEMLEAF
        /COMPARE GROUP
        /STATISTICS NONE
        /CINTERVAL 95
        /MISSING LISTWISE
        /NOTOTAL.
```


## Explore

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| SPEEDS | 35 | $100.0 \%$ |  | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 35 |

## SPEEDS

| Frequency | Stem \& | Leaf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 15 | 9 |
| 4.00 | 16 | 6789 |
| 4.00 | 17 | 2577 |
| 9.00 | 18 | 355588889 |
| 11.00 | 19 | 22335578999 |
| 5.00 | 20 | 13457 |
| 1.00 | 21 | 0 |
| Stem width: | 10.00 |  |
| Each leaf: | 1 case(s) |  |

Note that SPSS arranges the leaves in ascending order rather than placing them as they appear in the data set.

## SELF-TEST

1. b
2. True
3. c
4. 

| Stems | Leaves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | 5 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |

5. 



Line graph showing average latency over trials for rats to leave a platform.
6.


Bar graph showing number of cars made in a particular country passing through an intersection.
7. a.


Frequency polygon of typing test scores.
b.


Cumulative percentage polygon of typing test scores.
Approximately $46 \%$ of students typed 65 or fewer words per minute.

## CHAPTER 5

Fill-in-the-blanks
(1) middle
(2) mean
(3) median
(4) mode
(5) mode
(6) Mo
(7) least
(8) bimodal
(9) 50th
(10) percentile
(11) ( $N / 2$ )th
(12) $(N / 2)$ th +1
(13) $(N+1) / 2$ th
(14) scores
(15) number
(16) frequencies
(17) $\bar{X}$
(18) $\mu$
(19) hundredths
(20) final
(21) three
(22) drop
(23) up
(24) balancing
(25) 0
(27) median
(28) missing
(29) statistical
(30) stable
(31) unbiased
(32) same
(33) mean
(34) tail
(35) mode
(36) middle or center
(37) frequencies
(38) twice

## Problems

1. a. $\bar{X}=10$
b. $\bar{X}=8$
c. $\bar{X}=3$
d. $\bar{X}=16$
2. 

| X | $f$ | $X-\bar{X}$ | $f(X-\bar{X})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 9 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | -1 | -5 |
| 4 | 2 | -2 | -4 |
| 3 | 1 | -3 | -3 |
| 2 | 2 | -4 | -4 |
| $N=23$ |  | $\Sigma f(X-\bar{X})=0$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
M o & =6 \\
\bar{X} & =6 \\
M d & (\text { counting method })=6
\end{aligned}
$$

3. $M o=6, M d=6, \bar{X}=5.8$
4. $M o=2, M d=3, \bar{X}=2.8$
5. $M o=15, M d=14, \bar{X}=12.6$
6. $M o=27, M d=27.5, \bar{X}=27.85$
7. with nonresponders: $M d=35$
omitting nonresponders: $M o=33, M d=33, \bar{X}=33.15$
8. a. 1.45 . If the number in the thousandths place is less than 5 , drop it and all the following numbers.
b. 1.56. If the number in the thousandths place is 5 or more, round the preceding digit up.
c. 3.67 ; same as b
d. 23.33; same as a
e. 7.83 ; same as b

## EXERCISE USING SPSS

1. 
```
FREQUENCIES
    VARIABLES=neurot
    /STATISTICS=MEAN MEDIAN MODE
    /ORDER ANALYSIS .
```


## Frequencies

## Statistics

NEUROT

| N | Valid | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 0 |
| Mean |  | 5.8000 |
| Median |  | 6.0000 |
| Mode |  | 6.00 |

NEUROT

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1.00 | 2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 2.00 | 4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 |
|  | 3.00 | 4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 20.0 |
|  | 4.00 | 5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 |
|  | 5.00 | 7 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 44.0 |
|  | 6.00 | 8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 60.0 |
|  | 7.00 | 7 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 74.0 |
|  | 8.00 | 5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 84.0 |
|  | 9.00 | 4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 92.0 |
|  | 10.00 | 4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 50 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## SELF-TEST

1. $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c}$
2. $M o=-1, M d=-0.5, \bar{X}=-1.28$
3. $M o=122, M d=127, \bar{X}=125.46$

## CHAPTER 6

Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) | spread or dispersion | $(12)$ | $N-1$ | $(22)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) variance | $(13)$ | square root | $(23)$ | $z$ |
| (3) standard deviation | $(14)$ | computational | $(24)$ | $z$ score |
| (4) range | $(15)$ | computations | $(25)$ | sign |
| (5) $A D$ | $(16)$ | baseline | $(26)$ | negative |
| (6) variance | $(17)$ | range | $(27)$ | mean |
| (7) absolute value | $(18)$ | 4 | $(28)$ | feel |
| (8) variance | $(19)$ | sum of squares | $(29)$ | one-sixth |
| (9) standard deviation | $(20)$ | mean | $(30)$ | positive |
| (10) biased | $(21)$ | $S S$ | $(31)$ | square root |
| (11) underestimate |  |  |  |  |

## Problems

1. $A D=1.45$, so Karl is correct; $R=7 ; s_{\text {approx }}=1.75 ; s^{2}=3.61 ; s=1.90$
2. a. $R=8, s_{\text {approx }}=2, s^{2}=3.64, s=1.91$
b.


Frequency polygon of correctly solved analogy problems showing both the raw-score scale and the standard-score scale.
3. $R=65, s_{\text {approx }}=16.25, s^{2}=264.75, s=16.27, z_{96}=0.91$. The score 2 standard deviation units below $\bar{X}$ is 48.6 .
4. $R=4, s_{\text {approx }}=1, s^{2}=1.62, s=1.27$
5. $s_{\mathrm{A}}=0.16, s_{\mathrm{B}}=0.11$. Applicant B gets the job.
6. $\bar{X}=74.33, s=13.64$. All employees scoring less than $74.33-13.64=60.69$ are required to take another week of training. Five employees scored less than 60.69.
7. $\bar{X}=24.35, s^{2}=3.71, s=1.93$


Frequency polygon showing both the raw-score scale and the standard-score scale.
8. a. $z_{3.75}=1.42$
b. $z_{2.10}=-0.57$
c. A score of 1.3 is 1.53 standard deviation units below the mean.
d. $X=4.02$
e. $X=0.77$

## EXERCISES USING SPSS

1. DESCRIPTIVES

VARIABLES=correct /SAVE
/STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV VARIANCE RANGE MIN MAX .

## Descriptives

Descriptive Statistics

|  | N | Range | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORRECT Valid N (listwise) | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 8.00 | 2.00 | 10.00 | 6.0000 | 1.9069 | 3.636 |

2. COMPUTE correctz $=($ correct-6.0)/1.9069.

EXECUTE .

|  | Correct | Zcorrect | correctz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10.00 | 2.09762 | 2.10 |
| 2 | 9.00 | 1.57321 | 1.57 |
| 3 | 9.00 | 1.57321 | 1.57 |
| 4 | 8.00 | 1.04881 | 1.05 |
| 5 | 7.00 | .52440 | .52 |
| 6 | 7.00 | .52440 | .52 |
| 7 | 7.00 | .52440 | .52 |
| 8 | 7.00 | .52440 | .52 |
| 9 | 6.00 | .00000 | .00 |
| 10 | 6.00 | .00000 | .00 |
| 11 | 6.00 | .00000 | .00 |
| 12 | 6.00 | .00000 | .00 |
| 13 | 6.00 | .00000 | .00 |
| 14 | 6.00 | .00000 | .00 |
| 15 | 5.00 | -.52440 | -.52 |
| 16 | 5.00 | -.52440 | -.52 |
| 17 | 5.00 | -.52440 | -.52 |
| 18 | 5.00 | -.52440 | -.52 |
| 19 | 5.00 | -.52440 | -.52 |
| 20 | 4.00 | -1.04881 | -1.05 |
| 21 | 4.00 | -1.04881 | -1.05 |
| 22 | 3.00 | -1.57321 | -1.57 |
| 23 | 2.00 | -2.09762 | -2.10 |

## SELF-TEST

1. $c, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{h}$
2. The size of $z$ tells how far the score is from the mean in standard deviation units.
3. The sign of a $z$ score indicates whether the score is above $(+)$ or below $(-)$ the mean.
4. a. $s^{2}=3.27, s=1.81$
b. $z_{6}=0.92, z_{3}=0.73$
c. $7.05,0.71$

## CHAPTER 7

Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) | Statistical hypotheses | $(13)$ | personal | $(25)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) probability | $(14)$ | subjective | $(26)$ | Conditional probability |
| (3) | statistics | $(15)$ | Bayesian | $(27)$ |
| (4) population | $(16)$ | inference | $(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})$ |  |
| (5) gambler's fallacy | $(17)$ | Bayesian | $(29)$ | $p(\mathrm{~A}) \times p(\mathrm{~B} \mid \mathrm{A})$ |
| (6) probability | $(18)$ | wrong or distorted | $(30)$ | A |
| (7) any | $(19)$ | conclusions | $(31)$ | B |
| (8) patterns or tendencies | $(20)$ | sum | $(32)$ | probability |
| (9) guarantees | $(21)$ | addition | $(33)$ | controversial |
| (10) Theoretical | $(22)$ | $p(\mathrm{~A})+p(\mathrm{~B})$ | $(34)$ | two |
| (11) empirical | $(23)$ | multiplication | $(35)$ | symmetrical |
| (12) relative frequency | $(24)$ | $p(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})=p(\mathrm{~A}) \times p(\mathrm{~B})$ | $(36)$ | normal probability distribution |

## Problems

1. There's no change in the probability of getting another head on the 10 th flip: $p=.5$.
2. a. $p=.019$
b. $p=.077$
c. $p=.308$
d. $p=.25$
e. $p=.75$
3. a. $p=.20$
b. $p=.40$
c. $p=.00$
d. $p=.87$
e. $p=.40$
f. $p=.33$
4. a. $p=.50$
b. $p=.50$
5. a. $p=.25$
b. $p=.75$
c. True-false is easier.
6. a. $p=.001$
b. $p=.003$
c. $p=.006$
d. $p=.01$
7. a. $p=.028$
b. $p=.25$
c. $p=.11$
d. $p=.083$
e. $p=.17$
f. $p=.33$
8. a. $p=.00$
b. $p=.13$
c. $p=.20$
d. $p=.40$
9. a. $p=.00667$
b. $p=.0134$
c. $p=.0267$
d. $p=.0535$
e. $p=.000268$
10. $p(3$ heads in 5 flips$)=.346$ $p(4$ heads in 5 flips $)=.259$
11. a. $p=.38$
b. $p=.538$
c. Yes, added information about personality type increases the probability of holding office.
d. Extraversion and holding office are related, not independent. Knowing personality type changes the probability of holding office.

## SELF-TEST

1. a
2. d
3. a. $1 / 3=.33$
b. $1 / 3 \times 1 / 3 \times 1 / 3=.04$
4. a. $(1 / 6)^{3}=.0046$
b. $2 / 6 \times 2 / 6 \times 3 / 6=.056$
c. $(.056)(3)=.168$
5. a. $1 / 6 \times 2 / 5 \times 3 / 4=.05$
b. $2 / 6 \times 1 / 5 \times 3 / 4=.05$
c. $3 / 6 \times 2 / 5 \times 1 / 4=.05$
6. a. $p($ held office $)=.38$
b. $p($ held office $\mid$ intuition $)=.38$
c. No, the added information about personality does not change the probability of holding office.
d. Intuition-sensing personality type and holding office are independent. Knowing the personality type does not change the probability of holding office. $p(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B})=p(\mathrm{~A})$ indicates that events A and B are independent.

## CHAPTER 8

Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) | Rosetta | (15) | tails | (29) | how many |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | Gauss | (16) | standard | (30) | $z$ scores |
| (3) | De Moivre | (17) | 6 | (31) | added |
| (4) | empirical | (18) | $z$ score | (32) | 100 |
| (5) | Empirical | (19) | below | (33) | 1 |
| (6) | limiting | (20) | above | (34) | $z$ score |
| (7) | means | (21) | B | (35) | both |
| (8) | probability | (22) | C | (36) | half |
| (9) | probability | (23) | Percentile rank | (37) | percentage area |
| (10) | $z$ scores | (24) | draw | (38) | 100 |
| (11) | A | (25) | $z$ score | (39) | normal curve |
| (12) | area | (26) | raw score | (40) | reasonable |
| (13) | symmetrical | (27) | A | (41) | form |
| (14) | central | (28) | A |  |  |

## Problems

1. a. $z_{89.6}=1.21$
b. $z_{61.5}=-0.72$
c. 48.8
d. 95.2
e. 50.25 or less, 93.75 or more
2. a. $21.57 \%$
b. $21.57 \%$
c. $z=1.75$; yes
d. $z=1.28$
e. $7.53 \%$
f. $2.50 \%$
g. $99.02 \%$
h. $10.03 \%$
3. a. 92.22
b. 6.68
c. 12
d. 73.69
e. 40.70
f. 8
g. 35.77 or less, 71.23 or more
h. 21.74 or less, 85.26 or more
4. a. 9
b. . 1949
c. 62
d. $15.28 \%$ (Note: 47.6 is as deviant from 78.8 as is 110 .)
e. 36.09 or less, 121.51 or more
5. a. 79.02 mph
b. 637.87 or 638 automobiles
c. $5.82 \%$
d. $83.89 \%$
e. 435.4 or 435 automobiles
f. 51.99 mph or less, 82.61 mph or more

## SELF-TEST

1. The standard normal curve has a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 .
2. False; areas are always positive, whereas $z$ scores below the mean are negative.
3. a. 9.98 or 10 applicants
b. 85.02 or 85 applicants
c. $31.21 \%$
d. . 0721
e. 58.5
f. 28.89 or below, 67.11 or above

## CHAPTER 9

Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) | estimates | (24) | values | (49) | test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | population | (25) | restrictions | (50) | decision |
| (3) | estimation | (26) | $z$ score | (51) | conclusion |
| (4) | estimates | (27) | $t$ | (52) | context |
| (5) | unbiased | (28) | Gosset | (53) | same |
| (6) | $N$ | (29) | Student | (54) | less |
| (7) | means | (30) | confidence interval | (55) | one tail |
| (8) | frequency | (31) | 99\% | (56) | more |
| (9) | sampling distribution | (32) | $z$ scores | (57) | direction |
|  | of means | (33) | $t$ scores | (58) | making a decision |
| (10) | $\mu$ | (34) | B | (59) | I |
| (11) | normal | (35) | $N-1$ | (60) | $\alpha$ |
| (12) | central limit theorem | (36) | sample size | (61) | $\alpha$ |
| (13) | standard deviation | (37) | interval | (62) | decrease |
| (14) | standard error | (38) | null hypothesis | (63) | II |
| (15) | $\sigma_{\bar{X}}$ | (39) | $H_{0}$ | (64) | $\beta$ |
|  | $\bar{X}-\mu$ | (40) | $\mu$ | (65) | decreases |
| (16) | $z=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma_{\bar{x}}}$ | (41) | $\mu$ | (66) | power |
|  | $\sigma_{\bar{X}}$ | (42) | $H_{1}$ | (67) | power $=1-\beta$ |
|  | raw score | (43) | nondirectional | (68) | $\alpha$ |
|  | sample | (44) | directional | (69) | size |
|  | $X$ | (45) | null | (70) | less |
| (20) | $\stackrel{s}{S}$ |  | alpha or $\alpha$ | (71) | larger |
|  | $N-1$ | (47) | . 05 | (72) | greater |
| $(22)$ $(23)$ | underestimate degrees of freedom | (48) | rejection | (73) | effect size |


| (74) meta-analysis | (79) II or $\beta$ | (84) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sign |  |  |
| (75) effect size | (80) power | (85) |
| negative |  |  |
| (76) abandon | (81) reasonable | (86) rejecting |
| (77) error rate | $(82)$ mean |  |

## Problems

1. a. $s_{\bar{X}}=0.87$
b. $s_{\bar{X}}=0.79$
c. $s_{\bar{X}}=0.40$
d. $s_{\bar{X}}=2.68$
e. $s_{\bar{X}}=2.15$
2. a. $t= \pm 2.2622$
b. $t= \pm 2.5758 . \pm 2.58$ are the $t$ scores cutting off the deviant $1 \%$ of the normal curve.
$t= \pm 1.9600 . \pm 1.96$ are the $t$ scores cutting off the deviant $5 \%$ of the normal curve.
c. $t= \pm 2.0141$, approximately
$t= \pm 2.6896$, approximately
d. The sampling distribution of means becomes more compact with larger sample sizes. Thus, deviant scores are closer to the mean as sample size (and $d f$ ) increases.
e. Use the values for the $d f$ closest to the observed $d f$.
3. a. With $d f=120,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=20 \pm 0.49=19.51$ to 20.49
$99 \% \mathrm{CI}=20 \pm 0.65=19.35$ to 20.65
b. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=10 \pm 0.80=9.20$ to 10.80
$99 \% \mathrm{CI}=10 \pm 1.09=8.91$ to 11.09
c. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=10.5 \pm 0.83=9.67$ to 11.33
$99 \% \mathrm{CI}=10.5 \pm 1.11=9.39$ to 11.61
4. a. $t(53)=2.01, \mathrm{p}<.05$. Applicants demonstrate significantly higher Conscientiousness scores than the general population.
b. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=54.2 \pm 4.40=49.80$ to 58.60
c. $99 \% \mathrm{CI}=54.2 \pm 5.86=48.34$ to 60.06
5. a. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=29.6 \pm 2.09=27.51$ to 31.69
b. $99 \% \mathrm{CI}=29.6 \pm 2.78=26.82$ to 32.38
6. a. $\sigma_{\bar{X}}=2.10$
b. $s_{\bar{X}}=1.70$
c. $t(24)=0.82, p>.05$
d. If you made an error, it was a Type II error (failure to reject a false null hypothesis).
7. $t(25)=-2.55, p<.05$. Significantly fewer calculators were assembled in the last hour of the shift.
8. a. $s_{\bar{X}}=2.43$
b. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=77.6 \pm 5.35=72.25$ to 82.95 . No, 71.1 is not in the interval.
c. $t(11)=2.67, p<.05$
d. Working with the psychologist significantly improved free-throw shooting.
9. a. $s_{\bar{X}}=1.28$
b. $\quad \sigma_{\bar{X}}=1.30$. This is very similar to $s_{\bar{X}}$.
c. $t(9)=-1.40, p>.05$. The sample probably came from the population with $\mu=22.5$.
d. $95 \% \mathrm{C} 1=20.85 \pm 2.67=18.18$ to 23.52

## EXERCISE USING SPSS

```
T-TEST
    TESTVAL=9
    /MISSING=ANALYSIS
    /VARIABLES=ncorrect
    /CRITERIA=CIN (.95) .
```


## T-Test

## One-Sample Statistics

|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCORRECT | 20 | 10.6500 | 3.0826 | .6893 |

One-Sample Test

|  | Test Value $=9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | t | df | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sig. } \\ \text { (2-tailed) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean Difference | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| NCORRECT | 2.394 | 19 | . 027 | 1.6500 | 2073 | 3.0927 |

Verbal skills of females were significantly higher this year than over the last 2 years, $t(19)=2.39, p=.027$.

```
T-TEST
    /TESTVAL=0
    /MISSING=ANALYSIS
    /VARIABLES=ncorrect
    /CRITERIA=CIN (.95) .
```


## T-Test

## One-Sample Statistics

|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCORRECT | 20 | 10.6500 | 3.0826 | .6893 |

Only the $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is correct in the following output.

One-Sample Test

|  | Test Value $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | t | df | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sig. } \\ \text { (2-tailed) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean Difference | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| NCORRECT | 15.451 | 19 | 000 | 10.6500 | 9.2073 | 12.0927 |

We can be 95\% confident that the verbal skills of females, as measured by mean number of correctly unscrambled sentences, was at least 9.21 and at most 12.09 sentences.

## SELF-TEST

1. b
2. $b$
3. c
4. Its mean is equal to $\mu$. The larger the sample sizes, the more nearly the distribution approximates the normal curve; the larger the sample sizes, the smaller the standard error of the mean.
5. a. $t(216)=1.38, p>.05$. The program has not improved reading significantly.
b. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=28.2 \pm 1.15=27.05$ to 29.35
6. a. $t(12)=-4.50, p<.01$. Couples experiencing marital difficulty engaged in significantly fewer nods.
b. $99 \% \mathrm{CI}=22.6 \pm 6.44=16.16$ to 29.04
7. a. $\bar{X}=58.42$
b. $s^{2}=173.36$
c. $\quad s=13.17$
d. $s_{\bar{X}}=3.80$
e. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=58.42 \pm 8.36=50.06$ to 66.78
f. $t(11)=2.43, p<.05$. Students seeking counseling exhibit more hypochondriasis than would be expected from test norms.

## CHAPTER 10

## Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) | two |  | $t_{\bar{X}_{1-1}}=\frac{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}{}$ | (37) | matched pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | independent | (18) | $t_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}=\frac{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}{s_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}}$ | (38) | repeated measures |
| (3) | random |  | $s_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}$ | (39) | control |
| (4) | randomly | (19) | number | (40) | within-subjects |
| (5) | pairs | (20) | variance | (41) | reducing |
| (6) | mean | (21) | same population | (42) | Counterbalancing |
| (7) | difference | (22) | 0 | (43) | double-blind |
| (8) | distribution | (23) | $N_{1}+N_{2}-2$ | (44) | differences |
| (9) | polygon | (24) | two-tailed | (45) | standard error |
| (10) | standard error | (25) | predictions | (46) | algebraic |
| (11) | 0 | (26) | one-tailed | (47) | pairs |
| (12) | normal | (27) | before | (48) | $N-1$ |
| (13) | smaller | (28) | one-tailed | (49) | $t$ ratio |
| (14) | $\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}$ | (29) | easier | (50) | independent |
| (15) | $\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$ | (30) | normally | (51) | dependent |
| (16) |  | (31) | variances | (52) | positive |
| (16) | $\sigma_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}$ | (32) | large | (53) | sign |
|  | $=\frac{\left(\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}\right)-\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}{\sigma^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\text {a }}}$ | (33) | little | (54) | difference |
| (17) | $z_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}=\frac{\sigma_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}}{}$ | (34) | robust |  | algebraically |
|  | $\sigma_{X_{1}-X_{2}}$ | (35) (36) | rejection power | (56) | reject |

## Problems

1. a. $s_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}=1.62$
b. $s_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}=0.67$
c. $s_{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}=0.41$
2. $t(33)=-4.41, p<.01$. Pilots made fewer errors (failure to respond) than navigators.
3. $t(7)=2.95, p<.05$. The adults with a family history of alcoholism had a higher level of the metabolite of alcohol in their blood 30 minutes after drinking alcohol.
4. $t(30)=-15.89, p<.01$. Performance was better on the recognition test; more nouns were recognized than were recalled.
5. a. Yes, this is an attempted replication of an effect in which "stupid" rats perform worse than "intelligent" rats. Group "Stupid" should have a larger mean number of errors than Group "Intelligent."
b. $t(28)=5.70, p<.005$, one-tailed test. Group "Stupid" rats made more errors.
6. $t(9)=-3.39, p<.01$. The average heart rate increased following exposure to the slides of known conservatives.
7. $t(48)=2.91, p<.01$. The final averages were higher in the lecture group.
8. $t(1,356)=-2.59, p<.01$. The average freshman ACT score at Private University is higher than at State University. Even though there is little difference in the means, the large sample sizes result in a small standard error and a more powerful test.
9. $t(9)=2.42, p<.05$. There was less error in distance estimation when the student used both eyes.
10. $t(26)=1.50, p>.05$. Children and young adults did not differ in ESP ability.

## EXERCISES USING SPSS

1. T-TEST

GROUPS=group (1 2 )
/MISSING=ANALYSIS
/VARIABLES=wpm
/CRITERIA=CIN(.95) .

## T-Test

## Group Statistics

|  | GROUP | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WPM | 1.00 |  | 10 | 410.6000 | 85.3635 |
|  | 2.00 |  | 10 | 514.2000 | 75.7889 |

Independent Samples Test


Independent Samples Test

|  |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference |
| WPM | Equal variances assumed | $-2.870$ | 18 | . 010 | -103.6000 |
|  | Equal variances not assumed | -2.870 | 17.751 | . 010 | -103.6000 |


|  |  | Independent Samples Test |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |
|  |  | Std. Error Difference | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| WPM | Equal variances assumed | 36.0983 | -179.4398 | -27.7602 |
|  | Equal variances not assumed | 36.0983 | -179.5161 | -27.6839 |

Conclusion: Reading speed was significantly greater for the group that attended the speed reading course than for people who did not attend, $t(18)=-2.87, p=.01$.
2. T-TEST

PAIRS = noclass WITH class (PAIRED)
/CRITERIA=CIN(.95)
/MISSING=ANALYSIS.

## T-Test

Paired Samples Statistics

|  |  |  | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pair 1 | NOCLASS | 410.6000 | 10 | 85.3635 | 26.9943 |
|  | CLASS | 514.2000 | 10 | 75.7889 | 23.9666 |

## Paired Samples Correlations

|  | N | Correlation | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pair 1 NOCLASS \& CLASS | 10 | .428 | .217 |

Paired Samples Test

|  |  | Paired Differences |  |  |  |  | t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |  |
|  |  | Lower |  |  | Upper |  |
| Pair 1 | NOCLASS - CLASS |  | -103.6000 | 86.5373 | 27.3655 | -165.5051 | -41.6949 | -3.786 |

Paired Samples Test


For the between-groups design used in Exercise $1, t=-2.87, p=.010$. For the dependent-groups design used in Exercise 2, $t=-3.79, p=.004$. The dependent-groups or paired-samples design is more powerful.

## SELF-TEST

1. Properties of the sampling distribution of the mean differences:
a. Its mean is equal to 0 .
b. The larger the sample sizes, the more closely the distribution approximates the normal curve.
c. The larger the sample sizes, the smaller the standard error of the mean differences.
2. A $t$ test for independent samples is used when data are gathered from unrelated (independent) groups, such as when a control group is compared to a separate experimental group.
3. A $t$ test for dependent samples is used when data are gathered from the same, related, or matched samples on two occasions (repeated measures), such as when participants are pretested, receive a treatment, and then are posttested.
4. e ( a and b are correct)
5. $t(22)=0.79, p>.05$. Leadership style did not significantly influence worker productivity.
6. $t(9)=-3.79, p<.01$. The course significantly improved reading speed.

## CHAPTER 11

## Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) | two | (31) | within | (60) | LSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | different | (32) | between |  |  |
| (3) | analysis of variance | (33) | scores | (61) | $\left.\mathrm{SDD}_{\alpha}=t_{\alpha} \sqrt{M S_{w}} \frac{1}{N_{1}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}}\right)$ |
| (4) | tedious | (34) | squared scores |  | $\sqrt{ }\left(\begin{array}{ll}N_{1} & N_{2}\end{array}\right)$ |
| (5) | I | (35) | total | (62) | $\alpha$ |
| (6) | true | (36) | total | (63) | $\beta$ |
| (7) | between-subjects | (37) | $\sum X^{2}{ }_{g}$ | (64) | Table of Differences |
| (8) | repeated measures | (38) | $\sum X^{2}$ | (65) | pairwise |
| (10) | population mean | (39) | subtraction | (66) | equal |
| (11) | score | (40) | $d f$ | (67) | HSD |
| (12) | additivity | (41) | mean | (68) | $\mathrm{HSD}_{\alpha}=q_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{M S_{\mathrm{w}}}{N_{g}}}$ |
| (13) | sum | (42) | $F$ ratio |  | ${ }_{\alpha} \quad q_{\alpha} \sqrt{N_{g}}$ |
| (14) | component parts | (43) | $M S_{\mathrm{w}}$ <br> groups | (69) | studentized range |
| (15) | between-groups | (44) | groups |  | statistic |
| (16) | key deviations | (45) | $N-K$ | (70) | D |
| (17) | grand mean | (46) | C | (71) | honestly significant |
| (18) | between-groups | (47) | rejected |  | difference |
| (19) | large | (48) | positively | (72) | same |
| (20) | within-groups | (49) | 1.00 | (73) | two |
| (21) | between-groups | (50) | Post-ANOVA increasing | (74) | control |
| (22) | total | (52) | increasing | (75) | variance |
| (23) | within-groups | (53) | post-ANOVA a priori | (76) | error |
| (24) | individual differences | (54) | means | (77) | subjects |
| (25) | treatment effect | (55) | sample sizes | (78) | $S S_{\text {error }}$ |
| (27) | within | (56) | powerful | (79) | denominator |
| (28) | 1.00 | (57) | protected |  |  |
| (29) | large | (58) | $F$ ratio |  |  |
| (30) | total | (59) | significant |  |  |


| (80) | $S S_{\text {error }}$ | $(87)$ | negative | (94) | $t$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (81) | subjects | $(88)$ | $S S_{\text {tot }}$ | (95) | $q$ |
| (82) | three parts | $(89)$ | $d f$ | (96) | positive |
| (83) | subjects | $(90)$ | $N-1$ | (97) | absolute |
| (84) | $d f_{\text {subj }}$ | $(91)$ | $N-1$ | (98) | large |
| $(85)$ | subjects | $(92)$ | $N_{\mathrm{g}}$ |  |  |
| $(86)$ | $M S_{\text {error }}$ | $(93)$ | $F$ |  |  |

## Problems

1. $\sum X_{1}=66, \sum X_{2}=45, \sum X_{3}=30, \sum X_{4}=70, \sum X=211$
$\sum X_{2}^{1}=558, \sum X_{2}^{2}=279, \sum X_{3}^{2}=138, \sum X_{4}^{2}=620, \sum X^{2}=1,595$
$N_{1}=8, N_{2}=8, N_{3}=8, N_{4}=8, \mathrm{~N}=32$
$S S_{\text {tot }}=203.72$
$S S_{\mathrm{w}}=72.38$
$S S_{\mathrm{b}}=131.34$
ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | $S S$ | $d f$ | $M S$ | $F$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between groups | 131.34 | 3 | 43.78 | 16.94 |
| Within groups | 72.38 | 28 | 2.585 |  |
| Total | 203.72 | 31 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The computed value of $F$ is 16.94 . The $d f$ for the numerator is 3 and the $d f$ for the denominator is 28 . The table values required for rejection of $H_{0}$ are 2.95 at the $5 \%$ level and 4.57 at the $1 \%$ level. What is your decision? Reject $H_{0}$ at the $1 \%$ level and conclude that the groups differ significantly. The treatments had an effect on how closely a phobic student would approach a live snake.
2. $\mathrm{LSD}_{.05}=1.65 ; \mathrm{LSD}_{.01}=2.22$.

Table of Differences

|  | Group 3 <br> 3.750 | Group 2 <br> 5.625 | Group 1 <br> 8.250 | Group 4 <br> 8.750 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group 3 3.750 |  | $1.875^{*}$ | $4.500^{* *}$ | $5.000^{* *}$ |
| Group 2 5.625 |  |  | $2.625^{* *}$ | $3.125^{* *}$ |
| Group 1 8.250 |  |  |  | 0.500 |
| Group 4 8.750 |  |  |  |  |
| Note. ${ }^{*} p<.05 ; * * p<.01$. |  |  |  |  |

Conclusion: Group 3, which got both relaxation training and imagery training, had significantly lower behavioral avoidance scores (displayed less fear) than any of the other groups. Group 2 participants, who had imagery training, were significantly less fearful than Groups 1 and 4 participants, who did not differ from each other.
3. $S S_{\text {tot }}=39.28, S S_{\mathrm{w}}=37.92, S S_{\mathrm{b}}=1.36$

## ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | $S S$ | $d f$ | $M S$ | $F$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Between groups | 1.36 | 3 | 0.453 | $F=0.36$ |  |
| Within groups | 37.92 | 30 | 1.264 | $F_{\text {crit }}(3,30)=2.92(p=.05)$ |  |
| Total | 39.28 | 33 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Thus, $F(3,30)=0.36, p>.05$. There's no evidence that the sleeping aids affected the speed of sleep onset.
4. $F(2,21)=359.54, p<.01$. Different levels of preflight illumination had an effect on time to complete dark adaptation.
5. $\operatorname{LSD}_{.05}=2.31 ; \operatorname{LSD}_{.01}=3.14$.

Table of Differences

|  | Group C <br> 4.50 | Group B <br> 9.75 | Group A <br> 32.50 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group C 4.50 |  | $5.25^{* *}$ | $28.00^{* *}$ |
| Group B 9.75 |  |  | $22.75^{* *}$ |
| Group A 32.50 |  |  |  |
| Note. ${ }^{*} p<.05 ;{ }^{* *} p<.01$. |  |  |  |

Conclusion: All comparisons were significant, with Group C pilots who spent 30 minutes wearing redtinted goggles having the shortest times to dark adaptation, followed by Group B pilots ( 30 minutes in a dimly lighted room), and Group A pilots ( 30 minutes in a bright room).
6. $F(3,24)=41.15, p<.01$. Mathematics anxiety varied over time in the course.
7. $\operatorname{LSD}_{.05}=0.83 ; \operatorname{LSD}_{.01}=1.12$.

Table of Differences

|  | 9 Weeks <br> 6 | 6 Weeks <br> 7 | 3 Weeks <br> 9 | First Day <br> 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 Weeks 6 |  | $1^{*}$ | $3^{* *}$ | $4^{* *}$ |
| 6 Weeks 7 |  |  | $2^{* *}$ | $3^{* *}$ |
| 3 Weeks 9 |  |  |  | $1^{*}$ |
| First Day 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Note. ${ }^{*} p<.05 ;{ }^{* *} p<.01$. |  |  |  |  |

Conclusion: All pairwise comparisons were significant, with students showing progressively less math anxiety with passage of time in the course.
8. $F(2,18)=40.95, p<.01$. Fatigue affected time to assemble pocket calculators.
9. $\operatorname{HSD}_{.05}=0.74 ; \operatorname{HSD}_{.01}=0.96$.

Table of Differences

|  |  | Beginning <br> 22.1 | Middle <br> 23.1 | End <br> 24.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning | 22.1 |  | $1.0^{* *}$ | $2.6^{* *}$ |
| Middle | 23.1 |  |  | $1.6^{* *}$ |
| End | 24.7 |  |  |  |
| Note ${ }^{*} \ll 05 \cdot{ }^{* *} p<01$ |  |  |  |  |

Conclusion: All pairwise comparisons were significant. The average time to assemble pocket calculators got progressively longer as the shift progressed.
10. $F(2,14)=17.06, p<.01$. The amount of dark adaptation affected the number of object detections.
11. $\mathrm{LSD}_{.05}=1.32 ; \mathrm{LSD}_{.01}=1.84$.

Table of Differences

|  |  | Minute <br> 2.5 | 15 Minutes <br> 5.0 | 30 Minutes <br> 6.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Minute 2.5 |  | $2.5^{* *}$ | $3.5^{* *}$ |  |
| 15 Minutes 5.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| 30 Minutes 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Note. ${ }^{*} p<.05 ;{ }^{* *} p<.01$. |  |  |  |  |

Conclusion: Object identification was significantly better after 15 minutes and after 30 minutes in the dark than after 1 minute. There was no significant difference in identification between 15 and 30 minutes in the dark.
12. $F(3,32)=0.88, p>.05$. The different diets had no effect on errors to learn the visual discrimination task.

## EXERCISES USING SPSS

1. oneway
colratio BY diet
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
$/$ POSTHOC $=$ LSD ALPHA (.05).

## Oneway

## Descriptives

COLRATIO

|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error | 95\% Confidence Interval for Mean |  | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| 1.00 | 10 | 2.2800 | . 3824 | . 1209 | 2.0065 | 2.5535 | 1.60 | 2.80 |
| 2.00 | 10 | 1.7200 | . 1619 | 5.121E-02 | 1.6042 | 1.8358 | 1.50 | 2.00 |
| 3.00 | 9 | 2.1222 | . 3032 | . 1011 | 1.8891 | 2.3553 | 1.60 | 2.50 |
| 4.00 | 7 | 2.3429 | . 4077 | . 1541 | 1.9658 | 2.7199 | 1.60 | 2.80 |
| Total | 36 | 2.0972 | . 3953 | 6.589E-02 | 1.9635 | 2.2310 | 1.50 | 2.80 |

COLRATIO

|  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Between Groups | 2.185 | 3 | .728 | 7.096 | .001 |
| Within Groups | 3.285 | 32 | .103 |  |  |
| Total | 5.470 | 35 |  |  |  |

## Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons
Dependent Variable: COLRATIO
LSD

| (1) DIET | (J) DIET | Mean Difference ( $1-J$ ) | Std. Error | Sig. | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper <br> Bound |
| 1.00 | 2.00 | .5600* | . 143 | . 000 | . 2681 | . 8519 |
|  | 3.00 | . 1578 | . 147 | . 292 | -. 1421 | . 4576 |
|  | 4.00 | -6.2857E-02 | . 158 | . 693 | -. 3845 | . 2587 |
| 2.00 | 1.00 | -.5600* | . 143 | . 000 | -. 8519 | -. 2681 |
|  | 3.00 | -.4022* | . 147 | . 010 | -. 7021 | -. 1024 |
|  | 4.00 | -.6229* | . 158 | . 000 | -. 9445 | -. 3013 |
| 3.00 | 1.00 | -. 1578 | . 147 | . 292 | -. 4576 | . 1421 |
|  | 2.00 | .4022* | . 147 | . 010 | . 1024 | . 7021 |
|  | 4.00 | -. 2206 | . 161 | . 181 | -. 5495 | . 1082 |
| 4.00 | 1.00 | 6.286E-02 | . 158 | . 693 | -. 2587 | . 3845 |
|  | 2.00 | .6229* | . 158 | . 000 | . 3013 | . 9445 |
|  | 3.00 | . 2206 | . 161 | . 181 | -. 1082 | . 5495 |

*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

## Means Plots



GRAPH
/ERRORBAR( CI 95 )=colratio BY diet
/MISSING=REPORT.

## Graph



Conclusion: The ANOVA conducted on the four-diet group indicated there was a significant effect for type of diet on cholesterol ratio-they were not all the same, $F(3,32)=7.096, p=.001$. Diet 2 had the best (lowest) ratio, significantly lower than Diets 1, 3, and 4, which did not differ by the LSD test, $p<.05$.
2. Note. Only the necessary portions of the output are given. Your solution will generate additional output that should be ignored.

```
GLM
    begin middle end
    /WSFACTOR = factorl 3 Polynomial
    /METHOD = SSTYPE(3)
    /PLOT = PROFILE( factor1 )
    /PRINT = DESCRIPTIVE
    /CRITERIA = ALPHA(.05)
    /WSDESIGN = Eactorl .
```


## General Linear Model

## Within-Subjects Factors

Measure: MEASURE_1

| FACTOR1 | Dependent <br> Variable |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BEGIN |
| 2 | MIDDLE |
| 3 | END |

## Descriptive Statistics

|  | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | N |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| BEGIN | 22.1000 | 3.2472 | 10 |
| MIDDLE | 23.1000 | 3.8137 | 10 |
| END | 24.7000 | 3.8312 | 10 |

Tests of Within-Subjects Effects
Measure: MEASURE_1

|  |  | Type III <br> Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | Sphericity Assumed | 34.400 | 2 | 17.200 | 40.737 | .000 |
|  | Greenhouse-Geisser | 34.400 | 1.652 | 20.821 | 40.737 | .000 |
|  | Huynh-Feldt | 34.400 | 1.976 | 17.406 | 40.737 | .000 |
|  | Lower-bound | 34.400 | 1.000 | 34.400 | 40.737 | .000 |
|  | Error(FACTOR1) | Sphericity Assumed | 7.600 | 18 | .422 |  |
|  | Greenhouse-Geisser | 7.600 | 14.870 | .511 |  |  |
|  | Huynh-Feldt | 7.600 | 17.787 | .427 |  |  |
|  | Lower-bound | 7.600 | 9.000 | .844 |  |  |

## Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Measure: MEASURE_1
Transformed Variable: Average

|  | Type III <br> Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | Square | F | Sig. |  |  |
| Intercept | 16286.700 | 1 | 16286.700 | 418.442 | .000 |
| Error | 350.300 | 9 | 38.922 |  |  |

## Profile Plots



## SELF-TEST

1. b
2. False. Further testing is necessary to determine which groups differ significantly.
3. $j, b, l, f, h, c, a, d, k, i$
4. $F(3,32)=7.12, p<.01$. The total cholesterol/HDL ratios were significantly affected by the diets.
5. $\quad F(2,12)=16.78, p<.01$. There's a significant change in object conservation ability as the children get older.
$\mathrm{LSD}_{.05}=5.27 ; \mathrm{LSD}_{.01}=7.38$.
Table of Differences

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9 \text { Months } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 12 Months 4 | $\begin{gathered} 15 \text { Months } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 Months | 2 |  | 2 | 13** |
| 12 Months | 4 |  |  | 11** |
| 15 Months | 15 |  |  |  |

Conclusion: There was significantly greater object conservation at 15 months than at either 9 months or 12 months and no difference in ability at the earlier ages.

## CHAPTER 12

Fill-in-the-blanks
(1) one-way ANOVA
(2) two-way ANOVA
(3) factors
(4) $3 \times 3$
(5) main effect
(6) interaction
(7) depends
(8) parallel
(9) converging
(10) crossing
(11) A
(12) lines
(13) interaction
(14) interaction
(15) subjects
(16) powerful
(17) generalization
(18) three
(19) main effects
(20) interaction
(21) $M S_{\mathrm{w}}$
(22) interaction
(23) post hoc
(24) interaction

## Problems

1. a. factor $A$, significant; factor $B$, nonsignificant; interaction, significant

b. factor A , significant; factor B , significant; interaction, nonsignificant

c. factor A , nonsignificant; factor B , nonsignificant; interaction, significant

2. a. handedness main effect, significant; illumination main effect, significant; interaction, nonsignificant
b. handedness main effect, significant; illumination main effect, nonsignificant; interaction, significant
c. handedness main effect, nonsignificant; illumination main effect, nonsignificant; interaction, significant
3. task difficulty, significant; anxiety level, significant; interaction, significant

4. anxiety, significant; problem difficulty, significant; interaction, significant

5. thrill seeking, significant; alcohol level, significant; interaction, significant

6. a. The handedness main effect was significant ( $p<.05$ ); dextrals spent more time on target than sinistrals. The illumination main effect was significant ( $p<.05$ ); performance improved with higher illumination levels. The interaction was not significant.
b. The handedness main effect was significant ( $p<.05$ ); dextrals did better than sinistrals overall. The illumination main effect was not significant ( $p>.05$ ). The handedness/illumination interaction was significant $(p<.01)$; dextrals outperformed sinistrals at high and low levels of illumination but did worse at medium levels.
c. Neither main effect was significant ( $p>.05$ ). The interaction effect was significant $(p<.01)$; sinistrals improved as light levels increased, whereas dextrals got worse under the same conditions.

## SELF-TEST

1. c
2. d
3. a. main effect (smoking), significant; main effect (nicotine), significant; interaction, significant

b. main effect (smoking), nonsignificant; main effect (nicotine), nonsignificant; interaction, significant

c. main effect (smoking), significant; main effect (nicotine), significant; interaction, nonsignificant


## CHAPTER 13

Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) correlation | (22) | no relationship | (42) | compute |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) linear correlation | (23) | $\rho$ (rho) | (43) | ordinal |
| (3) high | (24) | zero | (44) | ordinal |
| (4) low | (25) | E | (45) | rank |
| (5) positive | (26) | $d f$ | (46) | ranks |
| (6) scatterplot | (27) | zero | (47) | average |
| (7) negative | (28) | reject | (48) | point biserial |
| (8) downward | (29) | relationship | (49) | two |
| (9) zero | (30) | linear | (50) | phi coefficient |
| (10) absolute value | (31) | straight | (51) | multiple regression |
| (11) causes | (32) | $Y=b X+a$ or $Y=a+b X$ | (52) | general linear model |
| (12) sufficient | (33) | slope | (53) | relationships |
| (13) mean | (34) | $Y$ axis | (54) | strength |
| (14) -1 to +1 | (35) | deviations |  | group |
| (15) positive | (36) | 1.00 | (56) | -1 to +1 |
| (16) inverse | (37) | multiple regression |  | rank |
| (17) zero | (38) | coefficient of | (58) | , |
| (18) covariance |  | determination |  |  |
| (19) covariance | (39) |  |  | $-\left(\frac{y}{s_{x}}\right) X$ |
| (20) Pearson correlation | (40) | large |  | $s_{x}$ |
| (21) lowers or reduces | (41) | significance | (60) | algebraically |

## Problems

1. a. negatively correlated
b. positively correlated
c. negatively correlated
d. not correlated
e. negatively correlated
f. not correlated
g. positively correlated


Scatterplot of introversion and shyness.
$r(8)=.81, p<.01$; there is a significant positive correlation between introversion and shyness. $r^{2}=.66$.
3. $\hat{Y}=0.79 X+1.01$. If $X=15, \hat{Y}=12.86$.
4. $r=.92 . r(15)=.92, p<.01$. There is a significant positive correlation between first and last exam scores.
$\hat{Y}=0.66 X+31.4$
If $X=95, \hat{Y}=94.1$ or 94 . If $X=55, \hat{Y}=67.7$ or 68 .
5. $r_{\mathrm{S}}=.93, p<.01$. There is a significant positive relationship between the rankings.
6. $r(6)=.96, p<.01$. There is a significant positive relationship between time spent reading the paper and recognition of current events. $r^{2}=.92$.
7. $r(7)=-.96, p<.01$. The weight of the car is inversely related to its gas mileage.
8. $\hat{Y}=-5.63 X+31.33$. If $X=4.3$ ( 4,300 pounds), $\hat{Y}=7.12 \mathrm{mpg}$.
9. $r_{\mathrm{S}}=-.07, p>.05$. The correlation between the ratings is not significant.
10. $r_{\mathrm{S}}=.96, p<.01$. There is a significant positive correlation between the ratings of the experimenters.
11. $r(8)=.84, p<.01$. There is a significant positive relationship for heart rates of subjects viewing different stimuli.

## EXERCISES USING SPSS

1. CORRELATIONS
/VARIABLES=time score
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING=PAIRWISE

## Correlations

## Descriptive Statistics

|  | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | N |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| TIME | 26.8750 | 19.0746 | 8 |
| SCORE | 10.5000 | 5.0427 | 8 |

Correlations

|  |  | TIME | SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| TIME | Pearson Correlation | 1.000 | $.962^{*}$ |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .000 |
|  | N | 8 | 8 |
| SCORE | Pearson Correlation | $.962^{* *}$ | 1.000 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | . |
|  | N | 8 | 8 |

${ }^{* *}$. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level ( 2 -tailed).
2. REGRESSION
/DESCRIPTIVES MEAN STDDEV CORR SIG N
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT score
/METHOD=ENTER time

## Regression

Descriptive Statistics

|  | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | N |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SCORE | 10.5000 | 5.0427 | 8 |
| TIME | 26.8750 | 19.0746 | 8 |

Correlations

|  |  | SCORE | TIME |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Correlation | SCORE | 1.000 | .962 |
|  | TIME | .962 | 1.000 |
| Sig. (1-tailed) | SCORE | . | .000 |
|  | TIME | .000 | . |
| $N$ | SCORE | 8 | 8 |
|  | TIME | 8 | 8 |

## Variables Entered/Removed ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | TIME $^{\text {a }}$ |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: SCORE
Model Summary

| Model | $R$ | R Square | Adjusted R <br> Square | Std. Error of <br> the <br> Estimate |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.962^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .925 | .912 | 1.4935 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), TIME
ANOVA $^{\text {b }}$

|  |  | Sum of |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model |  | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Sig. |  |
| 1 | Regression | 164.616 |  | 1 | 164.616 | 73.796 |
|  | Residual | 13.384 | 6 | 2.231 |  | $.000^{a}$ |
|  | Total | 178.000 | 7 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), TIME
b. Dependent Variable: SCORE

## Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | 3.667 | . 955 |  | 3.842 | . 009 |
|  | TIME | 254 | . 030 | 962 | 8.590 | 000 |

a. Dependent Variable: SCORE

$$
\text { SCORE }=3.667+0.254(\text { TIME })
$$

GRAPH
/SCATTERPLOT (BIVAR) =time WITH score /MISSING=LISTWISE .

## Graph



TIME
3. NONPAR CORR
/VARIABLES=b a
/PRINT=SPEARMAN TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE .

## Nonparametric Correlations

| Correlations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | B | A |
| Spearman's rho | B | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 | -. 098 |
|  |  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | . 817 |
|  |  | N | 8 | 8 |
|  | A | Correlation Coefficient | -. 098 | 1.000 |
|  |  | Sig. (2-tailed) | . 817 | . |
|  |  | N | 8 | 8 |

```
GRAPH
    /SCATTERPLOT(BIVAR)=raterb WITH ratera
    /MISSING=LISTWISE .
```


## Graph



## SELF-TEST

1. e, f, d, g, i, a, h ,b, j, c
2. $r(6)=.89, p<.01$. There is a significant positive relationship between math and science ACT scores.
3. $\hat{Y}=0.93 X+1.50$ science $\mathrm{ACT}=32.19$ or 32
4. $r_{\mathrm{S}}=.78, p<.05$. There is a significant positive relationship between the attractiveness ratings of husbands and wives.

## CHAPTER 14

Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) | parametric | (12) | K-1 | (23) | research |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | nonparametric | (13) | levels | (24) | marginal |
| (3) | distribution-free | (14) | research hypothesis |  |  |
| (4) | nominal | (15) | confirmation |  |  |
| (5) | frequencies | (16) | power |  |  |
| (6) | Chi square | (17) | replication |  |  |
| (7) | goodness-of-fit | (18) | two |  |  |
| (8) | squared | (19) | independence |  |  |
| (9) | equally distributed | (20) | two-sample |  |  |
| (10) | previous research | (21) | independent |  |  |
| (11) | summed | (22) | contingency |  |  |


| $(25)$ | $N$ | $(29)$ | independent | (33) | four |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(26)$ | subtraction | $(30)$ | occurrence | (34) | expected |
| $(27)$ | $(\mathrm{R}-1)(\mathrm{C}-1)$ | $(31)$ | nonoccurrence | (35) | negative |
| $(28)$ | frequency | $(32)$ | 5 |  |  |

## Problems

1. a. $20.77 \quad 9.23$
$24.23 \quad 10.77$
Only one value had to be computed; the remaining three could be found by subtraction.
b. $23.77 \quad 14.85 \quad 12.38$
$24.23 \quad 15.15 \quad 12.62$
It was necessary to compute two expected values; four were found by subtraction.
c. $16.85 \quad 33.29 \quad 22.86$
$\begin{array}{lll}9.23 & 18.24 & 12.53\end{array}$
$15.92 \quad 31.47 \quad 21.61$
It was necessary to compute four values; five were found by subtraction.
2. a. $\chi^{2}(1, N=65)=13.32, p<.01$.
b. $\chi^{2}(2, N=103)=1.60, p>.05$.
c. $\chi^{2}(4, N=182)=17.77, p<.01$.
3. $\chi^{2}(1, N=132)=11.68, p<.01$. Left-handers were less likely to be aphasic than right-handers.
4. $\chi^{2}(1, N=204)=3.53, p>.05$. Parental alcoholism was not significantly related to alcoholism of the participants in the study.
5. $\chi^{2}(1, N=50)=25.92, p<.01$. The monkey had generalized its learned response from objects to pictures of objects.
6. $\chi^{2}(2, N=160)=1.91, p>.05$. Introversion-extroversion did not affect brand preference.
7. $\chi^{2}(4, N=170)=103.11, p<.01$. The grade assignment significantly departed from a normal distribution.
8. $\chi^{2}(1, N=60)=3.51, p>.05$. High- and low-self-esteem students did not differ on the test of attitudes toward risk taking.
9. $\chi^{2}(1, N=28)=11.57, p<.01$. In physiological psychology, the professor scored significantly better than the departmental average.
10. $\chi^{2}(1, N=28)=2.29, p>.05$. In statistics, the professor did not score better than the departmental average.

## EXERCISES USING SPSS

1. npar test
/CHISQUARE=item
/EXPECTED=EQUAL
/MISSING ANALYSIS.

## NPar Tests

## Chi-Square Test

## Frequencies

ITEM

|  | Observed N | Expected N | Residual |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .00 | 5 | 14.0 | -9.0 |
| 1.00 | 23 | 14.0 | 9.0 |
| Total | 28 |  |  |

Test Statistics

|  | ITEM |
| :--- | ---: |
| Chi-Square | 11.571 |
| df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .001 |

a. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected frequencies less than 5 . The minimum expected cell frequency is 14.0 .

This result-being above average on 23 of 28 evaluation items-is significantly different from a chance outcome, $\chi^{2}(1, N=28)=11.57, p=.001$.
2. CROSSTABS

```
/TABLES=esteem BY risk
/FORMAT= AVALUE TABLES
/STATISTIC=CHISQ
/CELLSS COUNT EXPECTED TOTAL
/BARCHAR'T .
```


## Crosstabs

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| ESTEEM * RISK | 60 | 100.0\% | 0 | . $\%$ | 60 | 100.0\% |

ESTEEM * RISK Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RISK |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.00 | 2.00 |  |
| ESTEEM | 1.00 | Count | 18 | 9 | 27 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 14.4 | 12.6 | 27.0 |
|  |  | \% of Total | 30.0\% | 15.0\% | 45.0\% |
|  | 2.00 | Count | 14 | 19 | 33 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 17.6 | 15.4 | 33.0 |
|  |  | \% of Total | 23.3\% | 31.7\% | 55.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 32 | 28 | 60 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 32.0 | 28.0 | 60.0 |
|  |  | \% of Total | 53.3\% | 46.7\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $3.506^{b}$ |  | 1 | .061 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuity Correction | 2.600 |  | 1 | .107 |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 3.552 |  | 1 | .059 |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linear-by-Linear | 3.448 |  | 1 | .074 | .053 |
| Association | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases |  |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 12.60 .

Students differing in self-esteem do not differ significantly in their risk-taking attitude, $\chi^{2}(1, N=60)=$ $3.506, p=.061$.

## SELF-TEST

1. $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{h}$
2. $\chi^{2}(1, N=201)=18.49, p<.01$. Republicans and Democrats differ in their opinions on increased entitlement spending: Democrats tend to favor it, whereas Republicans tend to oppose it.
3. $\chi^{2}(2, N=80)=2.42, p>.05$. The diets did not affect problem-solving ability significantly.

## CHAPTER 15

## Fill-in-the-blanks

| (1) nonparametric | (22) rank- | (42) less |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) distribution free | (23) sign |  |
| (3) assumptions | (24) less |  |
| (4) interval | (25) smaller |  |
| (5) $t$ test for independent | (26) normal |  |
| (6) independent | (27) $z$ score |  |
| (7) ordinal | (28) Mann-Whitney |  |
| (8) identical | (29) $F$ test or one-way |  |
| (9) ranked | ANOVA |  |
| (10) $U^{\prime}$ | (30) ordinal |  |
| (11) populations | (31) ranked |  |
| (12) H | (32) ranks |  |
| (13) less | (33) chi square |  |
| (14) $z$ score | (34) $K-1$ |  |
| (15) 1.96 | (35) M-W |  |
| (16) dependent | (36) ranking |  |
| (17) randomly | (37) $N$ |  |
| (18) ordinal | (38) positive |  |
| (19) identical | (39) $U^{\prime}$ |  |
| (20) difference | (40) 0 |  |
| (21) 0 | (41) absolute |  |

Problems

1. a. Mann-Whitney test
b. $t$ test for dependent samples
c. Kruskal-Wallis test
d. Wilcoxon test
e. Chi-square test of significance
2. $U^{\prime}=4, p=.02$. Only children were less willing to share toys with other children.
3. $H=13.42, p<.01$. There were significant differences between the diets in their effects on handling scores.
A vs. B: $U^{\prime}=12, p<.01$. Diet B made rats harder to handle than Diet A.
A vs. C: $U=47.5, p>.05$. Diets A and C did not differ in their effects.
B vs. C: $U=7, p<.01$. Diet B made rats more irritable than Diet C.
4. $U=36.5, p>.05$. There was no difference in the speech patterns of the parents of schizophrenic children.
5. $T=11, p=.05$. Attitudes toward risk taking were more positive after alcohol consumption.
6. $U^{\prime}=58.5, p>.05$. The groups did not differ in attitudes toward risk taking.
7. $T=26.5, p>.05$. There were no differences in double-blind statements between the parents' letters.
8. $H=10.20, p<.01$. The classes differed significantly.

1 vs. 2 : $U=16, p=.01$. Class 1 had higher creativity scores than Class 2.
1 vs. 3: $U=12, p<.01$. Class 1 had higher scores than Class 3.
2 vs. 3: $U=44, p>.05$. Classes 2 and 3 did not differ.

## EXERCISES USING SPSS

1. 

NPAR TESTS
$/ \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}=$ share $\quad$ BY group (1 2)
/MISSING ANALYSIS.

## NPar Tests

## Mann-Whitney Test

## Ranks

|  | GROUP | $N$ | Mean Rank | Sum of <br> Ranks |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SHARE | 1.00 | 7 | 4.57 | 32.00 |
|  | 2.00 | 6 | 9.83 | 59.00 |
|  | Total | 13 |  |  |

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | SHARE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mann-Whitney U | 4.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 32.000 |
| Z | -2.449 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .014 |
| Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed Sig.)] | $.014^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

a. Not corrected for ties.
b. Grouping Variable: GROUP

```
EXAMINE
    VARIABLES=share BY group /PLOT=BOXPLOT/STATISTICS=NONE/NOTOTAL
    /MISSING=REPORT.
```


## Explore

## GROUP

|  |  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | GROUP | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| SHARE | 1.00 | 7 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 7 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | 2.00 | 6 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 6 | $100.0 \%$ |

SHARE


The results were that the group of children with siblings indicated more willingness to share toys than the group of only children, $U=4.0, p=.014$.
2. NPAR TEST
/WILCOXON=schizo WITH nonschiz (PAIRED)
/MISSING ANALYSIS.

## NPar Tests

## Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

Ranks

|  |  |  |  | Sum of |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | N | Mean Rank | Ranks |
| NONSCHIZ - SCHIZO | Negative Ranks | $5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 5.30 | 26.50 |
|  | Positive Ranks | $6^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 6.58 | 39.50 |
|  | Ties | $1^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  |  |
|  | Total | 12 |  |  |

a. NONSCHIZ < SCHIZO
b. NONSCHIZ > SCHIZO
c. $\mathrm{SCHIZO}=$ NONSCHIZ

```
Test Statistics \({ }^{\text {b }}\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
NONSCHIZ \\
- SCHIZO
\end{tabular} \\
\hline\(Z\) & \(-.582^{\mathrm{a}}\) \\
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) & .560 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a. Based on negative ranks.
b. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test
EXAMINE
VARIABLES=schizo nonschiz /COMPARE VARIABLE/PLOT=BOXPLOT/STATISTICS=NONE
/nOTOTAL
/MISSING=LISTWISE .
```


## Explore

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| SCHIZO | 12 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 12 | $100.0 \%$ |
| NONSCHIZ | 12 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 12 | $100.0 \%$ |



The results indicated that there were no differences in ratings of incompatible ideas and feelings in letters from parents of schizophrenic versus nonschizophrenic children by the Wilcoxon test, $T=26.50, p=.56$.
3. NPAR TESTS
/K-W=creat BY group (1 3)
/MISSING ANALYSIS.

## NPar Tests

## Kruskal-Wallis Test

## Ranks

|  | GROUP | N | Mean Rank |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CREAT | 1.00 | 10 | 22.70 |
|  | 2.00 | 10 | 12.70 |
|  | 3.00 | 10 | 11.10 |
|  | Total | 30 |  |

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$

|  | CREAT |
| :--- | ---: |
| Chi-Square | 10.212 |
| df | 2 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .006 |

a. Kruskal Wallis Test
b. Grouping Variable: GROUP

EXAMINE
VARIABLES=creat BY group /PLOT=BOXPLOT/STATISTICS=NONE/NOTOTAL /MISSING=REPORT.

## Explore

GROUP


## CREAT



GROUP
NPAR TESTS
$/ \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}=$ creat BY group (12)
/MISSING ANALYSIS.

## NPar Tests

## Mann-Whitney Test

## Ranks

|  | GROUP | N | Mean Rank | Sum of <br> Ranks |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CREAT | 1.00 | 10 | 13.90 | 139.00 |
|  | 2.00 | 10 | 7.10 | 71.00 |
|  | Total | 20 |  |  |

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | CREAT |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mann-Whitney U | 16.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 71.000 |
| Z | -2.573 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .010 |
| Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed Sig.)] | $.009^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

a. Not corrected for ties.
b. Grouping Variable: GROUP

## NPar Tests

## Mann-Whitney Test

## Ranks

|  | GROUP | N | Mean Rank | Sum of <br> Ranks |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CREAT | 1.00 | 10 | 14.30 | 143.00 |
|  | 3.00 | 10 | 6.70 | 67.00 |
|  | Total | 20 |  |  |

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | CREAT |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mann-Whitney U | 12.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 67.000 |
| Z | -2.874 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .004 |
| Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed Sig.)] | $.003^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

a. Not corrected for ties.
b. Grouping Variable: GROUP

NPAR TESTS
$/ M-W=$ creat $\quad B Y$ group (2 3)
/MISSING ANALYSIS.

## NPar Tests

## Mann-Whitney Test

|  | GROUP | N | Mean Rank | Sum of Ranks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CREAT | 2.00 | 10 | 11.10 | 111.00 |
|  | 3.00 | 10 | 9.90 | 99.00 |
|  | Total | 20 |  |  |

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | CREAT |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mann-Whitney U | 44.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 99.000 |
| Z | -.454 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .650 |
| Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed Sig.)] | $.684^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

a. Not corrected for ties.
b. Grouping Variable: GROUP

The three classes differed in creativity test scores overall by the Kruskal-Wallis test: $\chi^{2}(2, N=30)=$ $10.21, p=.006$. Pairwise comparisons using the Mann-Whitney procedure indicated that Class 1 was higher than Class $2, U=16.0, p=.01$; Class 1 was higher than Class $3, U=12.0, p=.004$; and Class 2 and Class 3 were not significantly different, $U=44.0, p=.65$. The results can be summarized in terms of creativity scores as follows: Class $3=$ Class $2<$ Class 1 .

## SELF-TEST

1. $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$
2. $H=9.42, p<.01$. The diets significantly affected the rats' latencies to leave the lighted platform. Group 1 vs. Group 2 : $U^{\prime}=16.5, p>.05$. Groups 1 and 2 do not differ significantly in latency to leave the platform.
Group 1 vs. Group 3: $U=14, p>.05$. Groups 1 and 3 do not differ significantly in latency to leave the platform.
Group 2 vs. Group 3: $U=2.5, p<.01$. Group 3 had shorter latencies than Group 2.
3. $T=-11, p<.01$. Assertiveness training decreased the introversion score.
